

Subject:  
RE: follow-up on phone call regarding Denver ICE office  
From:  
"Rusnok, Carl" <carl.rusnok@xxxxxx.xxx>  
Date:  
Fri, 13 Nov 2009 08:55:18 -0600  
To:  
"Rossputin" <rossputin@rossputin.com>

Ross, No government organization is able to discuss administrative personnel issues. The below statement is the only response I have at this time.

Carl Rusnok  
Director of Communications, Central Region (Spokesman)  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) [www.ice.gov](http://www.ice.gov)  
Carl.Rusnok@xxxxxx.xxx

214-905-xxxx (office)  
214-850-xxxx (cell)

From: Rossputin [mailto:[rossputin@rossputin.com](mailto:rossputin@rossputin.com)]  
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2009 8:29 AM  
To: Rusnok, Carl  
Subject: Re: follow-up on phone call regarding Denver ICE office

Carl,

By your response, do you mean to say that ICE is currently investigating one or more of the issues which I mention in my questions? Or are you simply saying you'll never talk about anything like this? And, if the latter, I ask again what recourse citizens have to try to get ICE to deal with an office which those citizens have reason to believe is rife with corruption?

Thanks,  
Ross

Rusnok, Carl wrote:

Thanks, Ross. Below is the official response on this issue.

Carl Rusnok  
Director of Communications, Central Region (Spokesman)  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) [www.ice.gov](http://www.ice.gov)  
Carl.Rusnok@xxxxxx.xxx

214-905-xxxx (office)

214-850-xxxx (cell)

ICE RESPONSE:

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) cannot discuss internal administrative issues regarding its employees. However, ICE holds all its employees to the highest standards of ethics and professional behavior.

From: Rossputin [mailto:rossputin@rossputin.com]  
Sent: Thursday, November 12, 2009 2:27 PM  
To: Rusnok, Carl  
Subject: follow-up on phone call regarding Denver ICE office

Dear Mr. Rusnok,

Thanks for your time on the phone. Sorry to bother you on a holiday; I had forgotten that you had the day off today.

As I mentioned, I am writing an article (most likely for Human Events magazine and/or web site) regarding the Denver ICE office, in particular the people surrounding the prosecution of Cory Voorhis and what appears to be a possibly criminal pattern of behavior and cover-up by ICE agents in the Denver office.

I'll repeat my questions, for the record:

1) How is it that ASAC Tony Rouco is not only able to keep his job but actually receive an at-least-temporary promotion despite having badly failed a polygraph test, thus putting him under a Giglio cloud? As a friend of mine who is a former federal agent has told me, one thing that all federal agents learn early on in their training is that if they are shown to be a liar or a cheat, they will be disqualified from federal service (much less promoted).

2) Why is Agent Maldonado putting Mr. Rouco in the position of being an affiant or participant in criminal cases given that Rouco's Giglio complications could be extremely damaging to the prosecution's cases? Either Mr. Maldonado knows Rouco's Giglio problem and shouldn't be assigning him these tasks or he doesn't know in which case there are serious questions to be asked about the motivations and competence of ICE OPR.

3) Since Agent Maldonado was found by a government panel to have "lacked candor", is it appropriate for him to continue to have his supervisory position?

4) It seems apparent that SAC Jeff Copp was also less than truthful when he testified that he was not the "deciding officer" regarding Cory

Voorhis' employment. And then we have an ICE attorney apparently coaching Mr. Copp about how to explain himself. It is also clear that OPR investigator Manny Olmos misled federal officials when he wrote an affidavit which "cherry-picked" a statement from Tony Rouco (from during the same questioning which showed that Rouco was repeatedly lying) without telling the officials that Rouco had earlier given contradictory answers and that he failed the polygraph. Does the ICE Public Affairs Office have any comment on what appears to be a pattern of agents committing felonies (lying to federal agents, obstructing justice, etc) in order to support their failed attempt to prosecute Mr. Voorhis on misdemeanor charges, of which he was found unanimously not guilty?

I look forward to hearing back from you.

Regards,

Ross Kaminsky

--

I would remind you that extremism in the defense of liberty is no vice!

And let me remind you also that moderation in the pursuit of justice is no virtue!

(Barry Goldwater, 1964)